

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS

DAVID MAZZA,	)	
Plaintiff	)	
	)	
v.	)	CIVIL ACTION NO. 04-30020-MAP
	)	
AETNA CASUALTY AND LIFE	)	
INSURANCE COMPANY, ET AL,	)	
Defendants	)	

MEMORANDUM AND ORDER REGARDING  
DEFENDANT AETNA'S MOTION TO DISMISS AND  
MOTION TO STRIKE JURY DEMAND  
(Docket Nos. 5 & 7)

September 17, 2004

PONSOR, U.S.D.J.

Counsel for the plaintiff and for the defendant Aetna Casualty and Life Insurance Company ("Aetna") came before this court for argument on Aetna's Motion to Dismiss and Motion to Strike on September 16, 2004. At that time, the court indicated it would be allowing the Motion to Dismiss and set forth its reasons in detail orally. The Motion to Strike Jury Demand is hereby also ALLOWED, without opposition

In sum, the undisputed fact that Aetna is no longer the administrator of the ERISA plan that forms the basis of this lawsuit is fatal to any claim against ERISA. Hall v. Lhacho, Inc., 140 F.3d 1190, 1195 (8th Cir. 1998). In this regard, it is worth noting that the plaintiff will have an opportunity to obtain all his remedies pursuant to ERISA against the remaining defendants in the case.

With regard to the plaintiff's claim pursuant to Mass. Gen. Laws ch. 176D, the claim is preempted under ERISA, pursuant to

Hotz v. BlueCross BlueShield of Massachusetts, 292 F.3d 57, 60 (1st Cir. 2002). For these reasons, and for reasons set forth in more detail orally, the Motion to Dismiss is hereby ALLOWED. As noted, the Motion to Strike is also ALLOWED.

The clerk is ordered to refer this matter to Magistrate Judge Neiman for a prompt pretrial scheduling conference pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. 16 with the plaintiff and the remaining defendants.

It is So Ordered.

/s/ Michael A. Ponsor  
MICHAEL A. PONSOR  
U. S. District Judge